

國立交通大學

交通運輸研究所

博士論文

No.052

運輸建設計畫之整合性評估

-坪林交流道之個案研究

An Integrated Evaluation of Transportation
Infrastructure--A Case Study of Pinglin Interchange

研究生：王淑美

指導教授：馮正民 博士

中華民國九十六年六月

運輸建設計畫之整合性評估-坪林交流道之個案研究

An Integrated Evaluation of Transportation Infrastructur --A Case Study of Pinglin Interchange

研 究 生：王淑美

Student：Shu-Mei Wang

指導教授：馮正民 博士

Advisor：Dr. Cherng-Ming Feng

國 立 交 通 大 學

交通運輸研究所

博 士 論 文

A Dissertation

Submitted to Institute of Traffic and Transportation

College of Management

National Chiao Tung University

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Management

June 2007

Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China

中華民國九十六年六月

運輸建設計畫之整合性評估-坪林交流道之個案研究

學生：王淑美

指導教授：馮正民 博士

國立交通大學 交通運輸研究所

摘要

在目前的交通運輸評估的方式當中，多數的政府都是以環境影響評估搭配成本效益分析的結果作為主要的決策參考依據。交通建設對環境的影響不能與其他的影響效果不能放在同一天平上作評量，係肇因於過去缺乏將環境財貨貨幣化的方法。近年來，環境財貨估價的方法日趨成熟，故本文擬建立一個整合環境財貨價值的成本效益分析架構，改善過去成本效益分析無法納入環境影響效果的缺失。

在眾多環境財估價方式當中，假設市場評估法是唯一可以同時估計市場財貨及非市場財貨的方法，尤其適合運用於涉及多種環境影響的交通運輸計畫。然因假設市場評估法的操作方式多元，且需逐一矯正工具偏誤，因此本文將以實例驗證，逐步地執行操作方式，探討各個步驟當中應該注意的課題。並將假設市場評估法所推估出的環境財貨貨幣價格納入成本效益分析架構中，重新檢視環境財貨貨幣化對既有成本效益結果的影響。

本文係以坪林交流道作為案例分析，根據政府所做的成本效益評估顯示，若是開放坪林交流道不作任何流量限制實，則每年可以創造社會總體效益為 5.5 億元台幣；但經本文估算環境成本，並且納入原來的成本效益分析，結果發現與政府所做的分析呈現完全相反的結論。根據本文的估計，開放坪林交流道每年會對社會總體造成新台幣 19 億元的負擔。

這個實證結果顯示，現行使用環境影響評估搭配成本效益分析的決策分析方式，無法有效達成社會效益極大化的政策協助效果，更可能發生完全相反的決策建議。規劃者實有必要發展一套整合假設市場評估法與成本效益評估的政策架

構，彌補現行分析技術的缺失。

政府在從事公共建設之際，其主要的目的是要創造社會總體效益的極大化，然在社會效益增益當中所造成的分配不公平，也是政府必須同時解決的問題。然而在過去經驗中顯示，政府的確忽略了這個部份。這正是為何常常發生政府立意良好的建設，卻遭到所謂「受害」民眾攔街抗議的景況。

本文更進一步將成本效益分析法從社會總體結果論之視野，移轉到利益團體的效益分配的角度。本文將交通建設計畫可能的影響及其各自關聯的團體建立對應關係，並以利益團體為主體來進行成本效益的收納。透過這個對應與歸納的架構，可以清晰勾勒出在特定的交通建設計畫中，成本與效益可能由不同的團體來負擔。由於有著貨幣價格的依據，政府可以利用經濟手段進行社會利益重新分配，從而避免社會效益建築在犧牲少數人利益的困境。

根據本文實證分析的結果，若對於使用坪林交流道的使用者課以使用費，則可以減少 25% 的使用人次，降低坪林地區的環境負荷。文中更進一步分析付費使用者的社經特性及其動機。結果顯示比較在乎交通建設會影響環境進而損害自身健康者(如女性及高收入者)，願付價格較高；而對政府執法無信心者(如私部門工作者、60 歲以上的長者及教育程度較高者)付費意願較低。這些資訊顯示，政府若以收費或課稅等方式進行重分配之手段時，必須讓施政對象了解政策對其直接的關連性及增加民眾對政府的施政信心。

最後本文幾項對後續研究者的建議，1. 可以同時採取多種詢價方式，由此勾稽彼此的結果，可以提升估價的可信度；2. 可以進行事前、事後的比較，藉以降低估價的偏誤；3. 在問卷中要明定支付的載具，避免受訪者的誤解；4. 建立敘述性偏好及實際性偏好資料間的關連性，可以提升敘述性偏好的可信度；5. 在污染量的估計方面，增加運具的變相，可以提升估計的信度。

關鍵字：假設市場評估法，願付價格，雙價法

An Integrated Evaluation of Transportation Infrastructure --A Case Study of Pinglin Interchange

Student : Shu-Mei Wang

Advisors : Dr. Cheng-Min Feng

Institute of Traffic and Transportation
National Chiao Tung University

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the study is to develop and experiment with an integrated cost-benefit model for an environment-sensitive transportation project. It is well known that the current methodologies of assessing environment-sensitive projects have limitations. In this regard, the widely-adopted exercise which involves the “Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and the traditional Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA) in a sequential way may be flawed with a failure to calculate the resultant economic and environmental impacts in a same setting and at the same time. Contingent Valuation Methods (CVM) could take environmental factors into account and by far is the most frequently applied methodology. However, the CVM mainly deals only with the environmental impacts, paying not much attention to the economic impacts and still falling short of expectations.

Given the above weakness it is necessary to develop a process which integrates the CBA with CVM to evaluate the overall effects. Consequentially, this study sets to go a step further than the previous research by developing a step-by-step process which integrates the CBA with the CVM to assess the overall effects (both the environmental and economic impacts) for a transportation project.

In studying this issue, the Pinglin Interchange appears to provide an interesting case. Although within this issue, such a high-profile case as Taiwan high speed railway, the biggest BOT case, is large in scale and draws much attention, this is obviously not the case in the Pinglin Interchange. However, the Pinglin Interchange does provide a manageable case to experiment with the development of an integrated CBA with environmental factors for an environment-sensitive project. Indeed, the

paper goes a step further than the previous research by exploring the issue at the practice level, which may hopefully be more insightful.

The empirical results show that an integrated CBA may produce an entirely different conclusion from the one resulting from the common evaluation exercise of combining the EIA and the traditional CBA. Specifically speaking, while the common evaluation exercise led to a favorable conclusion, in the case of the Pinglin Interchange, the net annual benefit was estimated at NT\$550 million per year when the environmental effects are excluded. On the other hand, the results of our integrated CBA suggest that the construction of the Pinglin Interchange may not be cost-effective, in terms of the joint effects of the environmental and economic impacts. If the environmental effects are monetised and taken into consideration, the net deregulated effect will become negative NT\$1,954 million per year.

By implication, an environmentally acceptable transportation project, such as the Pinglin Interchange, though is justifiable in terms of the EIA, may not necessarily be a social optimal project. Therefore, to make the socially optimal decision for an environment-sensitive project has been troublesome for the planners because they have to take into account both the resultant environmental impacts and economic impacts. Instead of developing something new, the study arguably has managed to find a short-cut to the above mentioned problem by integrating the CVM and traditional CBA on a same scale.

This study further examines the role of the use fee in controlling pollution. It is well known that levy user fees in environment sensitive area will normally lead to a reduction in the number of tourists, thereby reducing environmental pollution. In this regard, the study applies the DB-DC approach to evaluate the effect of collecting user fee on the number of tourists in Pinglin. The results show that the government could set user fee at NT\$62 and could reduce 24% of the tourists and the level of pollution will be reduced accordingly.

In addition, the logic model is also used to examine the effects of socioeconomic characteristics on tourists' WTP. The estimated results demonstrate that female, high income, metropolitan residents, low level of

education, public employee and people under the age of 60 tend to have higher WTP. Moreover in-depth interview indicates that regardless of the socioeconomic characteristics, public's confidence in the capability of government enforcement affects people's WTP distinctly.

In summary, this study addresses the need to develop an integral plan for WTP approach in project appraisal. The results of this research can be transferred to other areas of policy-making definitely, such as recreation industry and transportation constructions which are trying to avoid environmental damages. On this regard, identifying interested parties motivations is a very key factor of enforcing public policies. It is necessary for the government authorities to recognize related parties' concerns and create fine communicative atmosphere. As a result, it could facilitate the process of pursuing sustainable development.

Finally, this thesis has shown that it is imperative to encompass a wide range of environmental factors in the project appraisal process. There are a number of issues arising from this work that require further exploration which includes: (1) extending elicitation techniques in CV approach; (2) controlling the survey period to mitigate bias; (3) identifying the tools of environment protection; (4) combining stated preference and revealed preference data; (5) pricing automobiles as an alternative to alleviate pollution.

Keywords: Contingent valuation method (CVM), Willingness-to-pay (WTP),
Double bounded dichotomous choice (DB-DC)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Motivation and Object	1
1.2 Scope of Research	3
CHAPTER 2 LITERATUR E REVIEW.....	6
2.1 The Applications of CBA in Transportation Projects.....	6
2.2 Environmental economic value	9
2.3 Review for Contingent Valuation Method.....	12
2.4 Summary	28
CHAPTER 3 DESIGN OF SURVEY.....	30
3.1 Research Framework of the Study.....	30
3.2 Background Information of the Pinglin Case.....	37
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	40
4.1 Preliminary Test of CVM.....	40
4.2 Survey Conducting.....	43
4.3 The Empirical Results.....	47
CHAPTER 5 EXTENSIONS	54
5.1 Elicitation.....	54
5.2 Results.....	57

5.3 Socioeconomic Factors Affecting WTP.....	59
5.4 Conclusions.....	63
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	64
6.1 Conclusions.....	64
6.2 Recommendations.....	67
6.3 Future Research Directions.....	68
BIBLIOGRAPHY	72
APPENDIX	79

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Structure of the Dissertation.....	5
Figure 2.1 Environmental economic values.....	10
Figure 2.2 The taxonomy of valuation techniques.....	11
Figure 2.3 Environmental improvement: compensating and equivalent surplus.....	13
Figure 2.4 Primary elements of CVM.....	15
Figure 2.5 Elicitation method: double choice format	20
Figure 3.1 Research Framework of the Dissertation.....	31
Figure 3.2 Identify sources of pollution and pertinent interest groups.....	32
Figure 3.3 Elicitation questions for the survey: double choice format	33
Figure 3.4 The appropriate adjustment of CVM.....	36
Figure 3.5 The redistribution mechanism.....	37
Figure 3.6 The location of Pinglin interchange.....	38
Figure 4.1 WTP bid structure for pollution protection for the Pinling interchange....	44
Figure 5.1 The framework of user fee in pollution control.. ..	54
Figure 5.2 The bidding structure of user fee.....	56
Figure 5.3 The frequency of WTP for user fee.....	58
Figure 6.1 An integrated evaluation framework.....	65

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Impact categories of transportation project for CBA.....	7
Table 2.2 Classification of affected groups for the transportation projects.....	8
Table 2.3 CVM bias and improvement methods.....	26
Table 3.1 The sources of pollution and affected interest group.....	32
Table 3.2 The Outline of information levels.....	35
Table 3.3 The official CBA results for the Pinglin interchange opening	39
Table 4.1 The information levels	41
Table 4.2 Socio-economic background of the respondents (information levels).....	42
Table 4.3 ANOVA results of information levels.....	43
Table 4.4 Statistics of the WTP for the environmental effects (Starting Point: NT\$100).....	45
Table 4.5 Statistics of the WTP for the environmental effects (Starting Point: NT\$500).....	46
Table 4.6 Statistics of the WTP for the environmental effects (Starting Point: NT\$1000).....	46
Table 4.7 Statistics tests of the WTP for the water pollution.....	47
Table 4.8 Statistics tests of the WTP for the air pollution.....	47
Table 4.9 Statistics tests of the WTP for the noise pollution.....	47
Table 4.10 The increase of environment index value of Pingling interchange use....	48
Table 4.11 Socio-economic background of the respondents (pollution).....	50
Table 4.12 The monetary values of environmental effects.....	51
Table 4.13 The result of the official CBA and the integrated CBA.....	51

Table 4.14 Net benefit for elated groups.....	53
Table 4.15 Average net benefit for residents and water user.....	53
Table 5.1 Distribution of WTP for user fee.....	56
Table 5.2 Socio-economic background of the respondents (user fee).....	57
Table 5.3 Pinglin tourists and disposal volumes.....	58
Table 5.4 Disposal and pollution volumes of Pinglin tourists in different control tools.....	59
Table 5.5 WTP equation of WTP for user fee.....	59
Table 5.6 Socio-economic characteristic of the respondents (in-depth interviews)....	60

謝 誌

能夠拿到博士學位真是一個奇蹟!以我個人的資質與努力，實在要感謝太多人的牽成。

首先要感謝我親愛的馮正民老師，馮老師從不嫌我笨、不嫌我慢，不給我壓力，總是用微笑包容我所有的不足。連我都否定自己的時候，馮老師還是堅定地相信我的能力。我不是千里馬，所以無法驗證馮老師是不是伯樂。我只是誤闖學術叢林的迷途羔羊，還好遇見馮老師這位善牧者，引領我、關照我。

一定要感謝的是徐淵靜老師及胡均立老師在專業領域及論文寫作上給我的特別關照。從論文計畫書到學位口試，我從兩位老師身上獲得的不只是學術的指導，更是如父兄般的寵愛與包容。當然還要感謝林建元老師及詹士樑老師對我的論文指導，兩位老師在口試時用問題引導我思考，並且對論文提出最精闢的建議，協助論文撰寫更加精緻化。能在艱辛與緊張的口試中，感受到口試委員的關愛之意，在愛與關懷的氛圍中，有效地提升論文品質，真的覺得自己好幸福喔!

接著要感謝的是黃台生所長、黃承傳老師、汪進財老師、許鉅秉老師和藍武王老師，交研所的老師們各有不同的教學風格，這六年的學習或心酸或喜樂，真是點滴在心頭。我的驚鈍與無厘頭應該造成很多老師的困擾吧!謝謝老師們的愛心教誨，我從各位身上所學習到的不只是專業知識，更是為人師表的風範及研究者的專注。我期許自己能夠好好的記住，然後在生命中落實。

所辦的洪姐、柳小姐和玉鳳是我這六年來最好的姐妹淘，學校裡男多女少，能談心的對象實在不多。還好遇見妳們可以談談心事，聊聊八卦。不但要感謝妳們在學生事務上的協助，最真心感謝的是這一路走來我遇見生命中許多的小顛仆，還好有妳們的關懷、鼓勵和分享協助我度過。尤其

是口試當晚，洪姐的情義相挺，真的讓我銘記在心，再一次謝謝！

我們馮家班的新薰學長、至宏學長、光遠學長、在莒學長、杰炤學長和劍雲學姊都已經是更擁一片天的卓越人士。他們位高權重、實在很忙碌，但他們總是主動的關心、照顧我，讓我享有許多當學妹的優渥和寵溺。尤其要謝謝在莒學長，在學習、處事及品味上的教誨與照料；還有光遠學長多次在職場上的推薦與幫助。

我們同班同學銘德、輝煌和 Percy，謝謝你們的幫助，我也畢業了！明智，請繼續加油！還有 JP，不管有沒有學位，你都是我們的好同學。我們幾個人的個性都不同，領域也不同，平時的往來也不像上一屆那樣密切；但是在重要的時候，我們總是可以有效的互助合作，這是因為我們有著堅實的革命情感吧。

大頭、Jacky、彥衡、文健與承憲雖然都是我的弟弟，但平日無論是學業或生活都仰賴大家的照顧。姐姐如果在歷經六年磨難後，還能保有些許貌美都要感謝你們的悉心照料。奕姝和昱凱雖然也是學弟妹，但你們的進度實在太快了，還好你們的努力逼著我上進。小昭是唯一像學妹的，你是在研究室的購物頻道。

感謝陳信宏博士與溫蓓章博士對我在學術奮戰中的不離不棄，以及化腐朽為神奇的能力。情深義重豈能以文字承載；但學識淵博可以凝脂為證。

劉大年博士是最反對我來念博士班的人，因為他知道其中的艱辛；他是最愛督促我唸書的人，因為他比我更重視學習表現。劉大年博士在我撰寫論文時的表現，讓我一定要推崇他為我拿到博士學位的重要推手！真的感謝你，你總是在關鍵時刻拯救了我。

謝謝我的弟弟，雖然他永遠不會翻閱我的論文。

2007.06.27