


Fuzzy Logic Transit Preemption Signal Controller: Genetic Algorithm and Ant Colony Optimization Approaches

CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|-----------|
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Background and Motivation | 1 |
| 1.2 Purpose and Scope | 4 |
| 1.3 Research Procedures | 5 |
| 1.4 Chapter Organization..... | 7 |
| CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW..... | 9 |
| 2.1 TPS Fundamental..... | 9 |
| 2.1.1 Priority strategy for TPS | 9 |
| 2.1.2 Unconditional and conditional TPS..... | 11 |
| 2.2 TPS Related Research..... | 12 |
| 2.3 Methods..... | 19 |
| 2.3.1 Fuzzy Logic Controller..... | 19 |
| 2.3.2 Genetic Algorithm..... | 22 |
| 2.3.3 Ant Colony Optimization..... | 25 |
| 2.3.4 FLC with GA and ACO..... | 28 |
| 2.4 Summary | 29 |
| CHAPTER 3 TPS CONTROL LOGIC AND PROPOSED MODELS.... | 31 |
| 3.1 TPS Control Logic | 31 |
| 3.1.1 Unconditional TPS..... | 31 |
| 3.1.2 Conditional TPS..... | 32 |
| 3.2 Design of the FLC..... | 33 |
| 3.3 Genetic Fuzzy Logic Controller (GFLC) | 36 |
| 3.3.1 Encoding method for fuzzy rules..... | 36 |

| | PAGE |
|--|-----------|
| 3.3.2 Encoding method for membership function | 37 |
| 3.3.3 Genetic Operators | 38 |
| 3.3.4 Iterative GFLC evolution algorithm | 40 |
| 3.4 Ant-Genetic Based Fuzzy Logic Controller (AGFLC) | 42 |
| 3.4.1 Selecting fuzzy rules by ACO..... | 43 |
| 3.4.2 Iterative AGFLC evolution algorithm..... | 47 |
| CHAPTER 4 COMPUTATIONAL EXPERIMENTS AT AN ISOLATED INTERSECTION | 51 |
| 4.1 Exemplified Example | 51 |
| 4.1.1 Data and Parameters..... | 51 |
| 4.1.2 Learning Results | 55 |
| 4.1.3 Comparisons..... | 60 |
| 4.1.4 Sensitivity Analyses | 62 |
| 4.2 Field Case..... | 65 |
| 4.2.1 Data and Parameters..... | 65 |
| 4.2.2 Results..... | 66 |
| CHAPTER 5 COMPUTATIONAL EXPERIMENTS ALONG AN ARTERIAL..... | 67 |
| 5.1 Exemplified Example | 67 |
| 5.1.1 Data and Parameters..... | 67 |
| 5.1.2 Fitness Value of TPS Along an Arterial | 68 |
| 5.1.3 Learning Results | 70 |
| 5.1.4 Comparisons..... | 75 |
| 5.1.5 Sensitivity Analyses | 78 |
| 5.2 Field Case..... | 81 |
| 5.2.1 Data and Parameters..... | 81 |
| 5.2.2 Results..... | 82 |



| | PAGE |
|---|-----------|
| CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS | 83 |
| 6.1 Conclusions..... | 83 |
| 6.2 Suggestions | 85 |
| REFERENCES..... | 87 |
| APPENDIX A: LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND NOTATIONS..... | 95 |
| APPENDIX B: VITA | 99 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| Figure 1-1 Research flowchart..... | 6 |
| Figure 2-1 Various TPS strategies..... | 10 |
| Figure 2-2 Framework of the FLC..... | 19 |
| Figure 2-3 Diagrammatic representations of defuzzification methods (Passino and Yurkovich, 1997)..... | 21 |
| Figure 2-4 Typical operation of a GA..... | 24 |
| Figure 3-1 Control logic of unconditional TPS model. | 32 |
| Figure 3-2 Illustrated definitions of H and L | 32 |
| Figure 3-3 Control logic of conditional TPS model. | 33 |
| Figure 3-4 Designed rule base of the FLC..... | 34 |
| Figure 3-5 Designed membership functions of the FLC: (a) traffic flow (TF), (b) queue length (QL), (c) necessity for implementing TPS (NE)..... | 35 |
| Figure 3-6 Encoding method for fuzzy rules. | 37 |
| Figure 3-7 Encoding method for membership functions. | 39 |
| Figure 3-8 Iterative evolution flow chart of GFLC. | 42 |
| Figure 3-9 Clustering network of two state variables and one control variable (each with five linguistic degrees)..... | 44 |
| Figure 3-10 Iterative evolution flow chart of AGFLC..... | 49 |
| Figure 4-1 Configuration of the exemplified example at an isolated intersection. | 52 |
| Figure 4-2 Assumed traffic flows at an isolated intersection..... | 52 |
| Figure 4-3 Estimation of vehicle delays at an isolated intersection. | 54 |
| Figure 4-4 Evolving process of GFLC and AGFLC of the exemplified example at an isolated intersection: (a) green extension strategy, (b) red truncation strategy..... | 56 |
| Figure 4-5 Selected fuzzy rules of the exemplified example at an isolated intersection: (a1) green extension with GFLC, (a2) green extension with AGFLC, (b1) red truncation with GFLC, (b2) red truncation with AGFLC. Note: NL: negative large, NS: negative small, ZE: zero, PS: positive small, PL: positive large. | 57 |
| Figure 4-6 Tuned membership functions for green extension strategy of the exemplified example at an isolated intersection: (a1) TF by GFLC, (b1) TF by AGFLC, (a2) QL by GFLC, (b2) QL by AGFLC, (a3) NE by GFLC, (b3) NE by AGFLC..... | 58 |

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| Figure 4-7 Tuned membership functions for red truncation strategy of the exemplified example at an isolated intersection: (c1) TF by GFLC, (d1) TF by AGFLC, (c2) QL by GFLC, (d2) QL by AGFLC, (c3) NE by GFLC, (d3) NE by AGFLC..... | 59 |
| Figure 4-8 Green time of different TPS models with green extension strategy of the exemplified example at an isolated intersection..... | 61 |
| Figure 4-9 Red time of different TPS models with red truncation strategy of the exemplified example at an isolated intersection..... | 61 |
| Figure 4-10 <i>TPD</i> Comparisons for different TPS models under various traffic scenarios (the exemplified example at an isolated intersection)... | 63 |
| Figure 4-11 <i>TPD</i> Comparisons for different TPS models under various bus loading factors (the exemplified example at an isolated intersection)..... | 64 |
| Figure 4-12 Configuration of the field case at an isolated intersection. | 65 |
| Figure 4-13 Observed flow rates of the field case at an isolated field intersection..... | 65 |
| Figure 5-1 Configuration of the exemplified example at two consecutive intersections. | 68 |
| Figure 5-2 TPS along an arterial under three coordinated signal systems..... | 69 |
| Figure 5-3 Estimation of vehicle delays at two consecutive intersections (simultaneous coordinated system). | 69 |
| Figure 5-4 Evolving process of GFLC and AGFCL of the exemplified example at two consecutive intersections (progressive coordinated system): (a) green extension strategy, (b) red truncation strategy..... | 71 |
| Figure 5-5 Selected fuzzy rules of the exemplified example at two consecutive intersections (progressive coordinated system): (a1) green extension with GFLC, (a2) green extension with AGFLC, (b1) red truncation with GFLC, (b2) red truncation with AGFLC. Note: NL: negative large, NS: negative small, ZE: zero, PS: positive small, PL: positive large..... | 72 |
| Figure 5-6 Tuned membership functions for green extension strategy of the exemplified example at two consecutive intersections (progressive coordinated system): (a1) TF by GFLC, (b1) TF by AGFLC, (a2) QL by GFLC, (b2) QL by AGFLC, (a3) NE by GFLC, (b3) NE by AGFLC. | 73 |

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| Figure 5-7 Tuned membership functions for red truncation strategy of the exemplified example at two consecutive intersections (progressive coordinated system): (c1) TF by GFLC, (d1) TF by AGFLC, (c2) QL by GFLC, (d2) QL by AGFLC, (c3) NE by GFLC, (d3) NE by AGFLC. | 74 |
| Figure 5-8 Green time at the first intersection of different TPS models with green extension strategy of the exemplified example at two consecutive intersections (progressive coordinated system). | 76 |
| Figure 5-9 Red time at the first intersection of different TPS with red truncation strategy of the exemplified example at two consecutive intersections (progressive coordinated system). | 76 |
| Figure 5-10 <i>TPD</i> Comparisons for different TPS models under various traffic scenarios (the exemplified example at two consecutive intersections under progressive coordinated system). | 79 |
| Figure 5-11 <i>TPD</i> Comparisons for different TPS models under various bus loading factors (the field case at two consecutive intersections under progressive coordinated system). | 80 |
| Figure 5-12 Configuration of the exemplified example at two consecutive intersections. | 81 |
| Figure 5-13 Observed flow rates of the field case at two consecutive intersections. | 82 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| Table 2.1 Summary of experimental TPS related studies | 15 |
| Table 2.2 Summary of analytical model and simulation studies | 18 |
| Table 3.1 Antecedents of two state variables with five linguistic degrees | 44 |
| Table 4.1 Predetermined rule table for heuristic information | 53 |
| Table 4.2 Comparisons of different TPS models (the exemplified example at an isolated intersection) | 60 |
| Table 4.3 Comparisons of different TPS models under various scenarios (the exemplified example at an isolated intersection) | 62 |
| Table 4.4 Comparisons of different TPS models under various bus loading factors (the exemplified example at an isolated intersection) | 64 |
| Table 4.5 Comparisons of different TPS models (the field case at an isolated intersection) | 66 |
| Table 5.1 Comparisons of different TPS models under various coordinated systems (the exemplified example at two consecutive intersections) | 75 |
| Table 5.2 Comparisons of different TPS models under various scenarios (the exemplified example at two consecutive intersections under progressive coordinated system) | 79 |
| Table 5.3 Comparisons of difference TPS models under various bus loading factors (the field case at two consecutive intersections under progressive coordinated system) | 80 |
| Table 5.4 Comparisons of difference TPS models (the field case at two consecutive intersections under progressive coordinated system) | 82 |

